



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/314,330	05/19/1999	ARTURO MARIA	113306	5017

23838 7590 12/30/2005

KENYON & KENYON  
1500 K STREET NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER
----------

JACKSON, JENISE E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/314,330	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MARIA, ARTURO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jenise E. Jackson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/27/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelley et al.(6,000,033).

3. As per claim 7, Kelley et al. discloses providing a plurality of machines authorized to access the web server(see col. 5, lines 11-31); associating with each authorized machine an access table storing authorization information(see col. 5, lines 18-24); coupling one of the authorized machines to an access requester (see col. 6, lines 10-20); verifying that the requester is authorized to access a resource on the web server with reference to the access table associated with the authorized machine to which the requester is coupled; and allowing the requester to assume the identity of the authorized machine to which the requester is coupled after verifying that the requester is authorized(see col. 6, lines 10-31, col. 7, lines 25-40).

4. As per claim 8, Kelley et al. discloses wherein the plurality of authorized machines includes a first authorized machine that is authorized to access a first subset of resources at the web server and a second authorized machine that is authorized to access a second subset of resources at the web server, wherein the second subset differs from the first subset(see col. 6, lines 65-67, col. 7, lines 1-16).

Art Unit: 2131

5. As per claim 9, Kelley et al. discloses wherein the plurality of authorized machines includes a first authorized machine that is authorized to access a first subset of resources at the web server and a second authorized machine that is authorized to access a second subset of resources at the web server, wherein the second subset overlaps with the first subset(see col. 7, lines 3-15).

6. As per claim 10, Kelley discloses wherein the first and second subsets are identical (see col. 6, lines 65-67, col. 7, lines 1-16).

***Response to Amendment***

7. The Applicant states that Kelley does not disclose verifying the requester is authorized to access a resource on the web server with reference to the access table associated with the authorized machine to which the requester is coupled. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. Kelley discloses a reference table(i.e. access table) having a listing of a plurality of services accessible on an inter- or intra-net and a real password is assigned corresponding to services(see col. 2, lines 4-10).

8. The Applicant states Kelley does not disclose that the password is not verified, and is not referenced to the access table associated with the authorized machine. Kelley inherently discloses that a password is verified. A password is used to authenticate or authorize in order to gain access. Kelley discloses a client computer having a listing of a plurality of services accessible and a real password associated with each of the services(see col. 2, lines 31-35).

9. The Applicant states that Kelley does not disclose allowing the requester to assume the identity of the authorized machine to which the requester is coupled after verifying the requester is authorized. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. Kelley discloses a request is made to

Art Unit: 2131

the client computer for a password/ or userid on a web browser to gain access to a network service, the user at the client computer may utilize the options section of a web browser to the substitute the virtual password, the virtual password is used to gain access to the resources(see col. 7, lines 25-40).

***Conclusion***


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



December 22, 2005



AYAZ SHEIKH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100